## राष्ट्रीय राजमार्ग एवं अवसंरचना विकास निगम लिमिटेड

सड़क परिवहन और राजमार्ग मंत्रालय, भारत सरकार तीसरी मंजिल, पीटीआई बिल्डिंग, 4-संसद मार्ग, नई दिल्ली-110001

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Limited

Ministry of Road Transport & Highways, Govt. of India 3rd Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001, +91 11 2346 1600, www.nhidcl.com





सार्वजनिक क्षेत्र का उपक्रम

A PUBLIC SECTOR UNDERTAKING

NHIDCL/WB/CivilWork/Bagrakot-Kafer(km26.100-km40.000)/Pkg-IVD/2019-20

Dated: 19.03.2020

To,

## All the Technically Responsive Bidders (listed below)

Sub: Construction and upgradation of existing road to 2-lane with paved shoulder of Bagrakot-Kafer section of NH-717A from Km. 26.100 to Km. 40.000 on EPC basis under SARDP-NE Phase 'Á' in the State of West Bengal (Package-IV D)- Opening of Financial Bids-reg.

Ref: NIT & RFP Document uploaded on CPP Portal on 17.12.2019.

Tender ID: 2019\_NHIDC\_528238\_1.

Based on the Technical Evaluation, following 06 (six) no. of bidders are found technically responsive for the subject project tender:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status			
1	KCL-BBPL (JV)	Technically Responsive			
2	CMAT-AKMBPL ( JV)	Technically Responsive			
3	Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive			
4	R.B. Construction	Technically Responsive			
5	RDS Projects Ltd. Technically Responsive				
6	RSM Infraprojects	Technically Responsive			

- 2. A copy of the Minutes of Meeting of the Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) is also enclosed herewith for information of applicant bidders. The comments, if any, may please be furnished to this office by 20.03.2020 before 12.00 hrs.
- 3. Authority shall open the online Financial Bids of all the Technically Responsive Bidders on 20.03.2020 at 15.00 hrs at NHIDCL, HQ, 3<sup>rd</sup> Floor, PTI Building, 4-Parliament Street, New Delhi-110001 in the presence of the Authorized representatives of the Bidders who may choose to attend.

Encls.: As stated above

(Y.C. Srivastava) General Manager (T)

## National Highways & Infrastructure Development Corporation Ltd.

Minutes of Meetings of Empowered Technical Bid Evaluation Committee (ETEC) for "Construction and upgradation of existing road to 2-lane with paved shoulder of Bagrakot-Kafer section of NH-717A from Km. 26.100 to Km. 40.000 on EPC basis under SARDP-NE Phase 'Á' in the State of West Bengal (Package-IV D)" held at NHIDCL, New Delhi on 18.03.2020.

- 1. The bids for the subject work were invited on 17.12.2019 and physically received on/before the scheduled bid due date (online & physical submission) 06.02.2020 upto 1100 hrs.
- **2.** ETBC met to open the Technical bid on 07.02.2020 at 1100 Hrs. The following bidders have submitted their bids physically and online -

S. No.	Name of Bidders						
A. Bids Received on CPP Portal							
1.	KCL-BBPL (JV)						
2.	2. CMAT-AKMBPL ( JV)						
3.	Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.						
4.	R.B. Construction						
5.	RDS Projects Ltd.						
6.	RSM Infraprojects						
5)	B. Bids Received on BIMS Portal						
1.	1. KCL-BBPL (JV)						
2.	2. Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.						
3.	R.B. Construction						
4.	RDS Projects Ltd.						
5.	RSM Infraprojects						

- 3. On the basis of inadequate result of technical evaluation through BIMS, Committee opined that Technical Evaluation of the proposal of all the 6 (Six) bidders may be carried out through existing mechanism and subsequent to the approval of Competent Authority for all projects, the evaluation of this project was carried out as per existing mechanism.
- **4.** The committee in reference to RFP has considered the following Evaluation Criteria for estimated project cost of **Rs 188.38 Crore.**

3 hos

My .

Josef

W

Page 1 of 4

Sr.N o.	Particulars	Amount in Rs. Cr.
1	Estimated Project Cost	188.38
2	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) as per clause 2.2.2.2 (i)	202.57
3	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	169.55
4	Minimum Threshold Technical Capacity required (For Category 1, 2, 3 & 4) for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	56.51
5	Minimum required amount of COMPLETED Eligible Projects in Category 1 and/or Category 3 from at least one similar work as per clause 2.2.2.2 (ii)	47.10
6	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2, the Capital Cost of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (c))	
7	Minimum required amount of self constructed project by the Bidder for a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 1&2 (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (i) (d))	
8	For a project to qualify as a Eligible Project under Category 3&4, the receipt / payments of the project should be more than (as per clause 2.2.2.6 (ii))	18.84
9	Minimum Financial capacity required as per clause 2.2.2.3	9.42
10	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Lead Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	5.70
11	Minimum Financial Capacity required for Other Member to fulfill as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	1.90
12	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required as per clause 2.2.2.3 (ii)	37.68
13	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	22.61
14	Minimum Average Annual Turnover required (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	7.54
15	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For each Bidder) as per clause 2.2.2.1	188.38
16	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Lead Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	113.00
17	Minimum Required Bid Capacity (For Other Member) as per clause 2.2.2.4 (i)	37.70

3

My Josef

1/2

- 5. During the evaluation, the committee observed that Statutory Auditor's/CA's of all the bidders either has not mentioned UDIN No. on the issued certificates or the receipts of the certificates issued not matching with the online receipts. However, the submitted figures have been considered correct on its face value and responsibility of the bidders. Further, it has also been observed that one bidder name AKMB Projects Pvt. Ltd (other member) of JV M/s CMAT-AKMBPL has not claimed turn over for the F.Y. 2018-19. The evaluation carried out in worst scenario considering the turnover in F.Y. 2018-19 as zero, the bidder is eligible/technically responsive.
- 6. In the meanwhile 2 no. of complaints have been received on 04.03.2020 (Annexure-I) & 11.03.2020 (Annexure-II) at NHIDCL, HQ respectively. The both the complaints have been taken under consideration. For complaint no. 1 dated 04.03.2020, the facts were verified by the respective Client/Departments and sought clarification from the Bidders. Accordingly, NHIDCL has received Reponses from the Client/Department and all the respective Bidders. The details are at Annexure-III
- 7. Similarly, the facts of the complaint no. 2 dated 11.03.2020 has also been taken under consideration by the NHIDCL. The details are at **Annexure-IV**.
- **8.** In view of above, the effective details of Technical Capacity (Annexure-V), Financial Capacity (Annexure-VI) and the Bid Capacity (Annexure-VII) of all the bidders as per the report are as under:

(i) Sun	(i) Summary of Threshold Technical Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.2(i) & (ii) and 2.2.2.4(i)					
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Minimum threshold capacity	Lead Member share (at least 60 % of total threshold technical capacity)	Other Member Share (at least 20% of total threshold capacity)	Minimum threshold technical capability from category 1 & 3 in a single completed projects	Whether meeting the Technic al Threshol d Require ment
1.	KCL-BBPL (JV)	517.30	441.56	75.74	114.56 (Lead Member)	Υ
2.	CMAT-AKMBPL ( JV)	309.72	230.22	79.50	143.64 (Lead Member)	Υ
3.	Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.	360.76	NA	NA	94.63	Υ
4.	R.B. Construction	423.63	NA	NA	94.27	Υ
5.	RDS Projects Ltd.	969.50	NA	NA	166.31	Υ
6.	RSM Infraprojects	322.88	NA	NA	193.54	Υ

3 July

My

Josef up

Page 3 of 4

(ii)	(ii) Summary of Net Worth, Turnover and Bid Capacity as per Clause 2.2.2.1, 2.2.2.3, 2.2.2.4(ii)						
Sr. No.	Bidder Name	Role Details	Equity Holding	Net Worth (in INR Crores)		Bid Capacity (Rs. in Crore)	Whether meeting the Financial Threshold Requirement
1.	KCL-BBPL (JV)	JV	80/20%	65.47 (LM)+ 7.34 (OM)= <b>72.81</b>	553.78 (LM)+ 11.68 (OM)= <b>565.46</b>	2500.89 (LM)+ 147.00 (OM)= <b>2647.89</b>	Y
2.	CMAT-AKMBPL ( JV)	JV	51/49 (%)	65.92 (LM)+ 5.84 (OM)= <b>71.76</b>	74.56 (LM)+ 13.11 (OM)= <b>87.67</b>	228.58 (LM)+ 89.05 (OM)= <b>317.63</b>	Y
3.	Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.	SE	100%	38.72	110.96	649.05	Υ
4.	R.B. Construction	SE	100%	71.95	134.61	749.20	Υ
5.	RDS Projects Ltd.	SE	100%	180.63	434.29	1693.01	Υ
6.	RSM Infraprojects	SE	100%	11.17	65.23	810.84	Υ

The Empowered Technical Evaluation Committee (ETEC) in its meeting has discussed the evaluation and after deliberation, status of evaluation is as under:

Sr. No.	Name of the Bidder	Status		
1	KCL-BBPL (JV)	Technically Responsive		
2	CMAT-AKMBPL ( JV)	Technically Responsive		
3	Civtect (India) Pvt. Ltd.	Technically Responsive		
4	R.B. Construction	Technically Responsive		
5	RDS Projects Ltd.	Technically Responsive		
6	RSM Infraprojects	Technically Responsive		

Meeting ended with vote of thanks to chair.
The firence has cheeked network and tunover and

Sh. W. Blah

(ED-V) Convener

Sh. Y.C. Srivastava,

GM(T)

Member Secretary/ Presenting

Officer

Sh. A. K. Singh GM (T)

Member

Sh. Mahesh Gupta,

DGM (F)

Member